arXiv:1607.08464v1 [math.DS] 28 Jul 2016

ON EFFECTIVE EQUIDISTRIBUTION FOR QUOTIENTS OF $\mathrm{SL}(d)$

MENNY AKA, MANFRED EINSIEDLER, HAN LI, AND AMIR MOHAMMADI

Abstract. We prove the first case of polynomially effective equidistribution of closed orbits of semisimple groups with nontrivial centralizer. The proof relies on uniform spectral gap, builds on, and extends work of Einsiedler, Margulis, and Venkatesh.

1. Introduction

In [EMV09] a polynomially effective equidistribution theorem for closed orbits of semisimple group H is proven under the assumption that H has trivial centralizer in the ambient group G. As explained in [EMV09] this centralizer assumption does not seem to be truly essential to the method. We consider a first case where similar results can be obtained in the presence of a one-dimensional centralizer.

Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbb{G}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-form of SL_{k+l} that splits over $\mathbb{R}$. Consider a $\mathbb{Q}$-embedding

$$\rho : \mathbb{G} \to \mathrm{SL}_N \tag{1.1}$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $G = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SL}_{k+l}(\mathbb{R})$. By a theorem of Borel and Harish-Chandra $\Gamma := \rho^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})) \cap G$ is a lattice in G. We define $X = G/\Gamma$. Throughout the paper we divide matrices in Mat_{k+l} into blocks indexed by k and l, and consider the algebraic group

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathrm{SL}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_l = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{SL}_k & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \mathrm{SL}_l \end{array}\right]$$

over $\mathbb{R}$; let $H = \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{R})$.

As the centralizer of H is not trivial, H-orbits may lie far from any given compact set, e.g. this happens for the $\mathbb{Q}$-split group $\mathbb{G}$ and orbits $Ha\,\mathrm{SL}_{k+l}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{SL}_{k+l}(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_{k+l}(\mathbb{Z})$ for a large $a \in C_G(H)$. This is obviously an obstruction to equidistribution, and we take this possibility into account via a height function $\mathrm{ht}(\cdot)$ on X whose definition is given in (2.3) and the function $\mathrm{minht}(\mathcal{Q}) = \inf\{\mathrm{ht}(x) : x \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ for subsets $\mathcal{Q} \subset X$.

M.A. acknowledges the support of ISEF, and SNF Grant 200021-152819.

M.E. acknowledges the support of the SNF Grant 200021-152819.

H.L. was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation 426090.

A.M. was supported in part by NSF Grants 1200388, 1500677, 1128155, and Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $(k,l) \neq (2,2)$ and fix G, H, Γ as above. There exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ depending only on G and H and a constant $V_0 = V_0(G,H,\Gamma) > 0$ such that for all $V > V_0$ there exists an intermediate subgroup $H \subset S \subset G$ such that for any $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have*

$$|\mu_{Hx_0}(f) - \mu_{Sx_0}(f)| \ll \mathcal{S}_d(f)\,\mathrm{minht}(Hx_0)^{\kappa_2} V^{-\kappa_1} \text{ and } \mathrm{vol}(Sx_0) \leq V,$$

for any closed orbit Hx_0, where the implicit constant depends only on G, H, Γ and $\mathcal{S}_d$ is a Sobolev norm (defined in §2.5).

The general strategy of the proof is similar to that of [EMV09]. We use the uniform spectral gap to effectively produce almost invariance under new elements transversal to H. Then, we show that the measure is actually almost invariant under a subgroup $S \supsetneq H$. The special choice of H, in particular, the fact that centralizer of H is one dimensional simplifies the proof in several places. This makes the possibilities of S quite restricted, see §3, which allows us to use well known facts regarding effective equidictribution of horospherical orbits in our proof. The special case at hand also allows us to utilize known results from nondivergence of unipotent flows and get a much simplified version of a closing lemma. Indeed, we show that unless the orbit Hx_0 is far in the cusp, Sx_0 is closed, see §4.

The case of $(k,l) = (2,2)$ is slightly more complicated due to the presence of further intermediate subgroups S (see §3) and we avoid it in the current paper.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Much of the notation below will depend on the choice of k, l and N in (1.1) which are fixed throughout the paper.

As this work builds heavily on [EMV09] we borrow much notation and conventions from loc. cit.

2.1. **Constants and their dependency and Landau's notation.** The notation $A \ll B$, meaning "there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ so that $A \leq c_1 B$", will be used; the implicit constant c_1 is permitted to depend on $\mathbb{G}$ and ρ, but (unless otherwise noted) not on anything else. We write $A \asymp B$ if $A \ll B \ll A$. We will use $c_1, c_2, \ldots$ to denote constants depending on $\mathbb{G}$ and ρ (and their numbering is reset at the end of a section). If a constant (implicit or explicit) depends on another parameter or only on a certain part of $(\mathbb{G}, \rho)$, we will make this clear by writing e.g. $\ll_\epsilon$, $c_3(N)$, etc.

We also adopt the $\star$-notation from [EMV09]: we write $B = A^{\pm\star}$ if $B = c_4 A^{\pm\kappa_3}$, where $\kappa_3 > 0$ depends only on $\dim \mathbb{G}$. Similarly one defines $B \ll A^\star$, $B \gg A^\star$. Finally we also write $A \asymp B^\star$ if $A^\star \ll B \ll A^\star$ (possibly with different exponents).

2.2. **Setup.** We recall the definition of congruence lattices in our setting. A congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{R})$ is a subgroup commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ containing a principal congruence subgroup, i.e. a kernel of a reduction map

$\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}/D\mathbb{Z})$ for some $D \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that $\Gamma \supset \rho^{-1}(\Gamma')$ where Γ' is a congruence subgroup of SL_N and ρ was defined in (1.1). By the same argument as in [EMV09, §1.6.1] Theorem 1.1 also holds for arithmetic subgroup at the cost of allowing the exponent to depend on Γ.

Given an element $g \in G$ we let $|g| = \max\{\|g\|_\infty, \|g^{-1}\|_\infty\}$. We fix a Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ such that $\|[v_1, v_2]\| \leq \|v_1\| \, \|v_2\|$. The embedding $\rho : \mathbb{G} \to \mathrm{SL}_N$ induces a $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ and we may choose a Γ-stable lattice $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Z}$ such that $[\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Z}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Z}$. We also let $\mathrm{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote a right invariant Riemannian metric on G.

The choice of the inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a normalization of the Haar measure on any subgroup of G and therefore a notion of *volume* for orbits of these subgroups in X. Given a subgroup P and a point $x \in X$ we denote this volume measure as dvol and the volume of the orbit Px as $\mathrm{vol}(Px)$. In contrast, μ_{Px} denotes the normalized Haar probability measure on the orbit Px. Let us write $g.f(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$ for $g \in G$ and $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$. Similarly, for any measure ν on X we denote $g_*\nu$ to be the measure defined by $g_*\nu(A) = \nu(g^{-1}A)$ for any Borel set $A \subset X$.

We choose an $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-invariant complement of $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(H) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ to be $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{r}_1$ where, using block notation, $\mathfrak{r}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{r}_1^-$ and

$$\mathfrak{r}_0 = \mathrm{span}\left[\begin{array}{c|c} l \cdot I_k & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -k \cdot I_l \end{array}\right], \quad \mathfrak{r}_1^+ = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right], \quad \mathfrak{r}_1^- = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline * & 0 \end{array}\right].$$

Let $u_k(t) \in \mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ denote the unipotent element

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{t^1}{1!} & \cdots & \frac{t^k}{k!} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \exp \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & t \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let

$$U = \{u(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \qquad u(t) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} u_k(t) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & u_l(t) \end{array}\right].$$

For $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{r}_1, \mathfrak{r}_1^\pm$ we put $\mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{s}) := \{w \in \mathfrak{s} : \mathrm{Ad}(u)w = w \text{ for all } u \in U\}$.

We also define the one-parameter subgroup

$$a_t = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} e^{lt} I_k & 0 \\ \hline 0 & e^{-kt} I_l \end{array}\right], \qquad A = \{a_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{r}_0$.

For a diagonalizable element a we define the *expanding horospherical* subgroup

$$W_G^+(a) = \left\{g :\in G : a^n g a^{-n} \to e, \text{ as } n \to -\infty\right\},$$

and the *contracting horospherical* subgroup $W_G^-(a) = W_G^+(a^{-1})$.

Put $W := W_G^+(a_1)$ for $a_1 \in A$ as above, and note that

$$W = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & * \\ \hline 0 & I_l \end{array}\right].$$

Finally we let $P^{\pm}$ denote the connected subgroups of G with

$$\mathrm{Lie}(P^+) = \mathrm{Lie}(H) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_1^+, \quad \mathrm{Lie}(P^-) = \mathrm{Lie}(H) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_1^-.$$

2.3. **Height, discriminant and volume.** Given a lattice $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in a vector space $\mathfrak{s}$ and a subspace $\mathfrak{l}$, that intersects $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in a lattice, we define the covolume (or discriminant) of $\mathfrak{l}$ by setting

$$\mathrm{covol}(\mathfrak{l}) := \mathrm{disc}(\mathfrak{l}) := \|p_{\mathfrak{l}}\| \tag{2.1}$$

where $p_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a primitive vector in

$$\bigwedge^{\dim \mathfrak{l}} \mathfrak{l} \cap \bigwedge^{\dim \mathfrak{l}} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{2.2}$$

For an element $g \in G$ we say that a subspace $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is *g-rational* if $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a lattice in $\mathfrak{l}$. It will be called simply *rational* if it is g-rational for $g = e$. Given a g-rational subspace $\mathfrak{l}$ we define the covolume of $\mathfrak{l}$ using (2.1) with $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

For a $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup $\mathbb{L}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ we put $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{L}) := \mathrm{disc}(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{L}))$.

Recall that the lattice $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is Γ-stable. Hence we may define the *height* of a point $x \in X$ by

$$\mathrm{ht}(x) = \sup\left\{ \|\mathrm{Ad}(g).v\|^{-1} : x = g\Gamma, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

The height of x in $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ is defined similarly. This defines the term $\mathrm{minht}(Hx_0)$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.1.

Let $\mathfrak{S}(R) = \{x \in X : \mathrm{ht}(x) \le R\}$. By Mahler's compactness criterion, the sets $\{\mathfrak{S}(R) : R > 0\}$ are all compact and $\cup_{R>0}\mathfrak{S}(R) = X$.

2.4. **Spectral input.** Let us denote by L the group generated by U and its transpose; it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and we call it the *principal* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Also let $P^{\pm}$ be defined as in §2.2.

We will use the following as a blackbox: The representations of L, the principal $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, on

$$L_0^2(\nu) = \left\{ f \in L^2(X,\nu) : \int f \, \mathrm{d}\nu = 0 \right\},$$

are $1/\mathsf{M}_0$-tempered (i.e. the matrix coefficients of the M_0-fold tensor product are in $L^{2+\epsilon}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ for all $\epsilon > 0$), where ν is the S-invariant probability measure on a closed S-orbit with $S = H, P^{\pm}$ or $S = G$.

Since $H \subset S$ for all choices of S above, $1/\mathsf{M}_0$-temperedness follows directly in the case when H has property (T), see [Oh02, Thm. 1.1–1.2], and in the general case we may apply property (τ) in the strong form, see [Clo03], [GMO08] and [EMV09, §6].

2.5. **Sobolev norms.** We now recall the definition of a certain family of Sobolev norms and their main properties (see [EMV09, §3.7]). For any integer $d \geq 0$ we let $\mathcal{S}_d$ be the Sobolev norm on X defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_d(f)^2 = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathrm{ht}(\cdot)^d \mathcal{D} f\|_2^2, \tag{2.4}$$

where $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ and the sum is taken over all $\mathcal{D} \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, which are monomials in a chosen basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ of degree at most d. We will need the following properties of $\mathcal{S}_d$. There exist a constant κ_4 such that for any $d \geq \kappa_4$ and any $g \in G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$, we have

($\mathcal{S}$-1) For any $g \in G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}_d(g.f) \ll_d |g|^{2d} \mathcal{S}_d(f),$$

($\mathcal{S}$-2) For any $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\|f\|_\infty \ll_d \mathcal{S}_d(f),$$

($\mathcal{S}$-3) For any $g \in G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\|g.f - f\|_\infty \ll_d \mathrm{dist}(e, g) \mathcal{S}_d(f),$$

($\mathcal{S}$-4) For any $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}_d(f_1 f_2) \ll_d \mathcal{S}_{d+\kappa_4}(f_1) \mathcal{S}_{d+\kappa_4}(f_2).$$

($\mathcal{S}$-5) Let ν and M_0 be as in §2.4. We have

$$\left| \langle u(t).f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\nu)} - \nu(f_1)\nu(\bar{f}_2) \right| \ll_d (1 + |t|)^{-\frac{1}{2\mathsf{M}_0}} \mathcal{S}_d(f_1) \mathcal{S}_d(f_2). \tag{2.5}$$

For a discussion of the Sobolev norm, the reason for introducing the factor $\mathrm{ht}(\cdot)^d$, and the proofs of the above properties we refer to [EMV09, §5].

Let $\mathcal{S}_d$ be as above and let $\epsilon > 0$. We say that a measure σ is ϵ-almost invariant under $g \in G$ (w.r.t. $\mathcal{S}_d$) if

$$|\sigma(g.f) - \sigma(f)| \leq \epsilon \, \mathcal{S}_d(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c^\infty(X).$$

We say that σ is ϵ-almost invariant under a subgroup $L \subset G$ if it is ϵ-almost invariant under all $g \in L$ with $|g| \leq 2$. Similarly, given $w \in \mathfrak{g}$ we say σ is ϵ-almost invariant under w if σ is ϵ-almost invariant under $\exp(tw)$ for all $|t| \leq 2$.

3. Structure of intermediate subgroups

As H is semisimple any finite-dimensional representation of it decomposes into irreducible sub-representations. In order to study the connected intermediate subgroups we may work with the Lie algebra of G, see [Bor91, §7]. Consider the adjoint representation of H on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. It decomposes as

$$\mathrm{Lie}(H) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{r}_1^- \oplus \mathfrak{r}_0.$$

Indeed, it is easily verified that each of these factors are sub-representations and a dimension count shows that it is a complete decomposition. The analysis of the possible intermediate subgroups follows simply from noting

that for any intermediate closed subgroup with $H \subset S \subset G$, Lie S will be a subrepresentation, which is also a Lie subalgebra, of Lie(G).

Proposition 3.1. *Fix (k,l) with $\max\{k,l\} \geq 3$ and let S be a closed connected subgroup with $H \subset S \subset G$. Then*

$$S \in \left\{H, P^+, P^-, AH, AP^+, AP^-, G\right\}.$$

$$AP^+ = \exp(\mathrm{Lie}(H) \oplus W^+ \oplus W_0),\ AP^- = \exp(\mathrm{Lie}(H) \oplus W^- \oplus W_0)$$

$$AH = \exp(\mathrm{Lie}(H) \oplus W_0).$$

Proof. Note that $\mathfrak{r}_1^+$ and $\mathfrak{r}_1^-$ are both irreducible and are dual to each other. If $\max\{k,l\} \geq 3$, then we claim that representations $\mathfrak{r}_1^+$ and $\mathfrak{r}_1^-$ are non-isomorphic. Indeed, if they were isomorphic, then they will be isomorphic also as a representation of the largest block, say of $\mathrm{SL}_k < H$. Note that as an SL_k representation $\mathfrak{r}_1^+$ is a direct sum of the standard representation of SL_k on $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathfrak{r}_1^-$ is a direct sum of its dual. If they where isomorphic then the standard representation on SL_k on $\mathbb{R}^k$ is isomorphic to its dual, which is a contradiction when $k \geq 3$ (e.g. because $\mathrm{diag}(t, \cdots, t, t^{-(k-1)}) \in \mathrm{SL}_k$ and its inverse cannot be conjugated to each other when $k \geq 3$).

The proposition now follows as the possible subrepresentations of Lie(G) which contain Lie(H) correspond exactly to the Lie algebras of the groups listed above. □

For the cases $(k,l) \in \{(2,2),(2,1),(1,2)\}$ we have that $\mathfrak{r}_1^+$ and $\mathfrak{r}_1^-$ are isomorphic as representations of H. When $k = l = 2$ this isomorphism gives rise to a family of subgroups, which are isomorphic to Sp(4). This case will probably also yield to the methods of these paper, but requires a special treatment in each step, and therefore we avoid it in the current paper. In contrast, we have:

Proposition 3.2. *Proposition 3.1 holds also when $k = 2, l = 1$ (or $k = 1, l = 2$).*

Proof. Fix an isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{r}_1^+ \to \mathfrak{r}_1^-$ and let

$$\mathfrak{s}_a := \left\{(v, a \cdot \phi(v)) : v \in \mathfrak{r}_1^+\right\}.$$

for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. The proposition will follow once we will show that the H-subrepresantation Lie(H) $\oplus\ \mathfrak{s}_a$ or Lie(H) $\oplus\ \mathfrak{s}_a \oplus \mathfrak{r}_0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ are never Lie subalgebras. This follows just by calculations of Lie brackets. Indeed, for concreteness, let e_1, e_2 (resp. f_1, f_2) denote the standard basis of $\mathfrak{r}_1^+$ (resp. $\mathfrak{r}_1^-$) and fix ϕ to be the isomorphism sending $\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 \mapsto -\beta f_1 + \alpha f_2$. Now, a direct calculation shows that the commutator of $(e_1, a\phi(e_1)) \in \mathfrak{s}_a$ and $(e_2, a\phi(e_2)) \in \mathfrak{s}_a$ is a non-trivial element of $\mathfrak{r}_0$. Another calculation shows that $\mathfrak{r}_0$ and $\mathfrak{s}_a$ generate $\mathfrak{r}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{r}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{r}_0$, so the Lie subalgebra generated by Lie(H) $\oplus\ \mathfrak{s}_a$ is always Lie(G). □

4. Applying nondivergence of unipotent flows

Let us recall the definition of certain functions $d_\alpha : G \to \mathbb{R}$. These functions were considered by Dani and Margulis in [DM91] in order to study the recurrence properties of unipotent flows on homogeneous spaces. Let $\mathbb{S}$ be a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split, $\mathbb{Q}$-torus. Let $\mathbb{P} \supset \mathbb{S}$ be a minimal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroup and let Δ be the associated simple roots relative to $\mathbb{S}$, see [BT65, Sec. 12]. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, let $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ be the corresponding maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroup. Let $\mathbb{U}_\alpha = R_u(\mathbb{P}_\alpha)$ be the unipotent radical and let $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$ denote the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{U}_\alpha$. Put $\ell_\alpha := \dim \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$ and let $\vartheta_\alpha = \wedge^{\ell_\alpha}\mathrm{Ad}$ denote the ℓ_α-th exterior power of the adjoint representation. Note that $\wedge^{\ell_\alpha}\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$ defines a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational one dimensional subspace of $\wedge^{\ell_\alpha}\mathfrak{g}$. Fix a unit vector $v_\alpha \in \wedge^{\ell_\alpha}\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$. Note that if $g \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\vartheta_\alpha(g)v_\alpha = \det(\mathrm{Ad}(g)|_{\mathfrak{u}_\alpha})v_\alpha.$$

Define $d_\alpha : G \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$d_\alpha(g) = \|\vartheta_\alpha(g)v_\alpha\| \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

For each $\alpha \in \Delta$ put $\mathbb{P}_\alpha^{(1)} = \{g \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha : \vartheta_\alpha(g)v_\alpha = v_\alpha\}$. Put $P_\alpha = \mathbb{P}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ and $P_\alpha^{(1)} = \mathbb{P}_\alpha^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $P_\alpha^{(1)}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-group without any $\mathbb{Q}$-characters, it follows from a theorem of Borel and Harish-Chandra, that $P_\alpha^{(1)}\Gamma/\Gamma$ is a closed orbit with a finite $P_\alpha^{(1)}$-invariant measure.

Theorem 4.1 (Cf. [DM91], Theorem 2)**.** *There exist a finite subset $\Xi \subset \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{Q})$, and some $R_0 > 0$ with the following property. For every $x = g\Gamma \in X$ there exists T_x so that one of the following holds:*

(1) $|\{|t| \le T : u(t)g\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(R_0)\}| \ge (1 - 2^{-20})T$ *for all* $T > T_x$.
(2) There exist $\lambda \in \Gamma\Xi$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $g^{-1}Ug \subset \lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}$, moreover, $d_\alpha(g\lambda) < 1$.

From this we get the following:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $y = g\Gamma$ be so that Hy is a closed orbit. Then one of the following holds:*

(1) $\mu_{Hy}(Hy \setminus \mathfrak{S}(R_0)) \le 2^{-10}$.
(2) There exist $\lambda \in \Gamma\Xi$, $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $h \in H$ with $|h| \le 1$ such that $g^{-1}Hg \subset \lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}$, and $d_\alpha(hg\lambda) < 1$.

Proof. Let $h \in H$ with $|h| \le 1$ be so that $hg\Gamma \in Hy$ is a generic point for the action of $\{u(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in the sense of Birkhoff ergodic theorem; that is

$$\lim_T \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f(u(t)hg\Gamma)\,\mathrm{d}t = \int f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{Hy}. \tag{4.1}$$

for all $f \in C_c(X)$.

We consider two possibilities. First let us assume that Theorem 4.1(1) holds true for $x = hg\Gamma$. Then the conclusion in part (1) holds by Theorem 4.1(1) and (4.1).

Therefore, let us assume that Theorem 4.1(2) holds true for $x = hg\Gamma$ and we show that the conclusion in the second part of the corollary must hold true. Then there exist some $\lambda \in \Gamma\Xi$ and some $\alpha \in \Delta$ so that $d_\alpha(hg\lambda) < 1$ and

$$g^{-1}h^{-1}Uhg \subset \lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}.$$

We claim that $g^{-1}Hg \subset \lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}$. Since $\lambda \in \Gamma\Xi$ and $\Xi \subset \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ we have that the orbit $\lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}\Gamma/\Gamma$ is a closed orbit. Hence,

$$\overline{g^{-1}h^{-1}Uhg\Gamma/\Gamma} \subset \lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}\Gamma/\Gamma \tag{4.2}$$

However, by (4.1) we have

$$\overline{g^{-1}h^{-1}Uhg\Gamma/\Gamma} = g^{-1}Hg\Gamma/\Gamma.$$

This together with (4.2) implies that $g^{-1}Hg \subset \lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}$ as we claimed. □

Lemma 4.3 (Mass in the cusp)**.** *There exist constants κ_5, κ_6 depending only on G and c_1 such that for any periodic H-orbit Hx, we have*

$$\mu_{Hx}\left(Hx \setminus \mathfrak{S}(R)\right) \le c_1 \mathrm{minht}(Hx)^{\kappa_6} R^{-\kappa_5}.$$

Proof. Let $z \in Hx$ be the point of the smallest height, namely $\mathrm{ht}(z) = \mathrm{minht}(Hx)$. By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, there exists some $h \in H$ with $|h| \le 1$ such that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\Big|\left\{0 < t < T : \mathrm{ht}(u(t)hz) > L\right\}\Big| = \mu_{Hx}\left(Hx \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)\right). \tag{4.3}$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $hz = g\Gamma$ for some element $g \in G$. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a g-rational subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$. As was done in [EMV09, App. B], define the function

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{s}}(t) = \mathrm{covol}(\mathrm{Ad}(u_t)\mathfrak{s})^2,$$

where the $\mathrm{Ad}(u_t)\mathfrak{s}$ is g-rational. Note that $\psi_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is a polynomial whose degree is bounded in terms of $\dim G$ only. On the other hand, since $|h| \le 1$ we have $\psi_{\mathfrak{s}}(0) = \mathrm{covol}(\mathfrak{s})^2 \gg \mathrm{ht}(z)^{-m}$ for some absolute constant m depending on N. We have, by [KM98], for any $T > 0$

$$\frac{1}{T}\Big|\left\{0 < t < T : \mathrm{ht}(u_t hz) > R\right\}\Big| \ll \Big(\frac{\mathrm{ht}(z)^m}{R}\Big)^{\kappa_5} = \frac{\mathrm{minht}(Hx)^{\kappa_6}}{R^{\kappa_5}}.$$

where κ_5 depends on degree of $\psi_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\kappa_6 := m\kappa_5$. This together with (4.3) implies the claim. □

Given a closed orbit Hx, define

$$R_{Hx} := \frac{2^{20/\kappa_5}\mathrm{minht}(Hx)^{2\kappa_6/\kappa_5}}{{c_1}^{1/\kappa_5}}. \tag{4.4}$$

This choice in view of Lemma 4.3 implies the following.

$$\mu_{Hx}\left(Hx \setminus \mathfrak{S}(R_{Hx})\right) \le 2^{-20}. \tag{4.5}$$

5. From generic points to new almost invariants

Let ν and M_0 be as in §2.4; we continue to denote by μ the H-invariant probability measure on Hx_0. Let $M = 20\mathsf{M}_0$ and let $T \geq 1$ a parameter. Following [EMV09] we define for a function f

$$D_{T,\nu}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(T+1)^M - T^M} \int_{T^M}^{(T+1)^M} f(u(t)x)\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_X f\,\mathrm{d}\nu. \tag{5.1}$$

We write D_T for $D_{T,\mu}$. A point $x \in X$ is called T_0-*generic* for the measure ν w.r.t. a Sobolev norm $\mathcal{S}$ if for all integers $n > T_0$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$|D_{n,\nu}(f)(x)| \leq n^{-1}\mathcal{S}(f). \tag{5.2}$$

Furthermore, a point $x \in X$ is called $[T_0, T_1]$-*generic* if (5.2) is satisfied for all $n \in [T_0, T_1]$.

Let $\mathrm{d}w$ be the Lebesgue measure on $W \cong \mathbb{R}^{kl}$, and for any $\tau > 0$ put

$$W[\tau] := \{w \in W : \|w\|_\infty \leq \tau\}.$$

Lemma 5.1 (Cf. [EMV09], §9.1)**.** *(1) For $d \gg 1$, depending only on G, the ν-measure of the points that are not T_0-generic for ν with respect to $\mathcal{S}_d$ is $\ll T_0^{-1}$.*

(2) There exists $d' > d$ depending on d and G with the following property. Suppose

$$|w_*\mu(f) - \mu(f)| \ll \epsilon\mathcal{S}_d(f) \quad \text{for all } w \in W[\tau].$$

Then there exists some κ_7 so that the proportion of points $(w, x) \in W[\tau] \times X$ such that wx is not *$[T_0, \epsilon^{-\kappa_7}]$-generic w.r.t. $\mathcal{S}_{d'}$ is $\ll T_0^{-1}$.*

Proof. First note that using (2.5) we have the following estimate on the L^2-norm of $D_{T,\nu}(f)$.

$$\int_X |D_{T,\nu}(f)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\nu \ll T^{-4}\mathcal{S}_d(f)^2. \tag{5.3}$$

The deduction of part (1) from (5.3) is identical to that of [EMV09, Prop. 9.1].

For (2), consider the integral

$$\frac{1}{|W[\tau]|} \int_{W[\tau]} \int_X |D_T(f)(wx)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\,\mathrm{d}w. \tag{5.4}$$

The inner integral of (5.4) satisfies

$$\int_X |D_T f(wx)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = w_*\mu(|D_T(f)|^2) \ll \epsilon\mathcal{S}_d(|D_T(f)|^2) + \mu(|D_T(f)|^2).$$

By properties of Sobolev norm in §2.5

$$\mathcal{S}_d(|D_T(f)|^2) \ll T^{\star d}\mathcal{S}_{d+\kappa_4}(f)^2.$$

Combining this with (5.3) we have

$$\int_X |D_T f(wx)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \ll \epsilon T^{\star d} \mathcal{S}_{d+\kappa_4}(f)^2 + T^{-4} \mathcal{S}_d(f)^2.$$

Therefore, if we choose $T \leq \epsilon^{-\star}$, then

$$\frac{1}{|W[\tau]|} \int_{W[\tau]} \int_X |D_T(f)(wx)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \, \mathrm{d}w \ll T^{-4} \mathcal{S}_{d+\kappa_4}(f)^2. \tag{5.5}$$

The deduction of part (2) from (5.5) is identical to that of part (1), see also [EMV09, Prop. 9.1–9.2]. □

The following lemma provides us with generic points which differ in directions transversal to H. The proof is straightforward and is based on a pigeonhole principle argument.

Lemma 5.2 (Cf. [EMV09], Proposition 14.2)**.** *There exist κ_8 and κ_9 with the following property. Let Hx_0 be a closed orbit so that*

$$\mathrm{vol}(Hx_0) \geq \mathrm{minht}(Hx_0)^{\kappa_8}. \tag{5.6}$$

Then there exist $x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(R_{Hx_0}) \cap Hx_0$ so that

(1) $\exp(w)x = y$ where $w \in \mathfrak{r}$ and $\|w\| \leq (\mathrm{vol}(Hx_0))^{-\kappa_9}$.

(2) Decompose $w = w_0 + w_1 \in \mathfrak{r}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{r}_1$. Then $\|w_1'\| \gg w_1$ where

$$w_1 = w_1' + w_1'' \in \mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{r}_1)^\perp \oplus \mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{r}_1)$$

and the decomposition is with respect to the Euclidean structure on $\mathfrak{r}_1$ which is induced by $\| \ \|$.

Moreover, given T_0 large enough, x and y can be chosen to be T_0-generic.

Proof. Let $T_0 > 1$, and let E' be the set of T_0-generic points. Put $E := E' \cap \mathfrak{S}(R_{Hx_0})$. In view of Lemma 5.1(1) and the choice of R_0, see (4.4), we have $\mu(X \setminus E) \leq 2^{-10}$ assuming T_0 is sufficiently large depending on G, H and Γ.

For any $\delta > 0$ let $\mathfrak{r}_\delta$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_\delta$) denote the ball of radius δ in $\mathfrak{r}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}$) around the origin with respect to the norm $\| \ \|$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let δ be smaller than $1/20$ of the injectivity radius at z for all $z \in \mathfrak{S}(R_{Hx_0})$, this amount to $\delta = R_{Hx_0}^{-\star}$. Then for any $z \in \mathfrak{S}(R_{Hx_0})$ the natural map from $\pi_z : \mathfrak{r}_\delta \times \mathfrak{h}_\delta \to X$ defined by $\pi_z(r, h) := \exp(r)\exp(h)z$ is a diffeomorphism. Indeed, we are also assuming δ is small enough so that the restriction of the exponential map to $\mathfrak{r}_\delta \times \mathfrak{h}_\delta$ into G is a diffeomorphism. Let $\Omega = \exp(\mathfrak{h}_{2\delta})$. Define the following function on X

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\Omega)} \int_\Omega \chi_E(hz) \, \mathrm{dvol}(h) \tag{5.7}$$

We have $\int_X \phi(z) d\mu = \mu(E) \geq 1 - 2^{-10}$. Put

$$F := \{z \in E : \phi(z) > 0.99\}. \tag{5.8}$$

Then $\mu(F) \geq 0.9$.

For δ chosen as above define $\mathsf{B}(z,\delta) := \pi_z(\mathfrak{r}_\delta \times \mathfrak{h}_\delta)$. We cover F by $\delta^{-\star}$-many sets of the form $\mathsf{B}(z,\delta)$ with $z \in F$ and with finite multiplicity depending only on G. Using the pigeonhole principle we have the following. So long as

$$\mathrm{vol}(Hx_0) \gg R^\star_{Hx_0},$$

there exist $x', y' \in F \cap \mathsf{B}(z,\delta)$ for some $z \in F$ so that $x' \neq hy'$ for any $h \in \Omega$.

We now want to perturb x', y' within F to guarantee that they satisfy the above claimed properties. First, note that since $\mathfrak{r}_1^+$ and $\mathfrak{r}_1^-$ are irreducible representations of H, there is a constant $\iota > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}\{h \in \Omega : \|\mathrm{Ad}(h)(v)'\| \leq \iota\|v\|\} < \mathrm{vol}(\Omega)/2 \tag{5.9}$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{r}_1$ where v' the component of v in $\mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{r}_1)$. Now, if we apply the Implicit Function Theorem and use the fact that $\phi(x') > 0.99$ and $\phi(y') > 0.99$ we can find $h_1, h_2 \in \Omega$ such that

- $h_1x', h_2y' \in F$ and
- $h_2y' = \exp(w)h_1x'$ where $w \in \mathfrak{r}$ and $\|w\| \ll \delta$,
- $\|w_1'\| \gg \|w_1\|$.

Therefore, $x = h_1x'$ and $y = h_2y'$ satisfy the conclusion of the proposition so long as we choose $\delta = \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}$. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $w \in \mathfrak{r}$ be the difference furnished by Lemma 5.2. Then $\exp(w) \notin C_G(H)$.*

Proof. Let us write $\exp(w)x = hx$ for some $h \in H$. We choose $g \in G$ such that $x = g\Gamma$ and let $\mathbb{H}$ be the connected, simply connected, algebraic group such that $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{R}) = g^{-1}Hg$. Note that $\mathbb{H}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ as Hx is a closed orbit. With this notation we have $\exp(-w)hg\Gamma = g\Gamma$, and the claim is equivalent to showing $g^{-1}\exp(-w)g \notin C_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{H})(\mathbb{R})$.

Assume this is not the case. Then

$$\gamma = g^{-1}\exp(-w)gg^{-1}hg \in \mathbb{L} := C_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{H}) \cdot \mathbb{H}.$$

Note that $C_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{H})$ is one-dimensional. We define a set of characters on $\mathbb{L}$, $\Delta = \{\chi_1, \chi_2\}$, as follows. First note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})$, $g\ell g^{-1}$ has a block structure, that is, it has the form $\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array}\right]$. We define $\{\chi_1(\ell), \chi_2(\ell)\}$ to be the determinants of the diagonal blocks of $g\ell g^{-1}$. This determines Δ. Further note, that since $\mathbb{H}$ is semisimple, any character on $\mathbb{H}$ is trivial. Therefore, for $a \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})$ we have that $a \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if a is in the kernels of χ_i, $i = 1, 2$.

Furthermore, we have that $\chi_2 = \chi_1^{-1}$ and that Δ is stable under the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ so either χ_1 and χ_2 are both defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ or over a real quadratic extension (as the centraliser splits over $\mathbb{R}$). In both cases, the integrality of γ implies that $\chi_i(\gamma)$ is either 1 or uniformly bounded away from 1, since in the second case $\chi_i(\gamma) \in \mathcal{O}^\times \subset \mathbb{R}$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is an order in a real quadratic extension and $\chi_1(\gamma) + \chi_2(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On the other hand, as χ_i are trivial on $\mathbb{H}$, we have for $i = 1, 2$

$$\chi_i(\gamma) = \chi_i(g^{-1}\exp(-w)g). \tag{5.10}$$

Therefore, if $g^{-1}\exp(-w)g$ is close to the identity, then

$$\chi_i(g^{-1}\exp(-w)g) = 1$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Since the kernel of these characters is $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{R})$, this contradict the fact that $g^{-1}\exp(-w)g \notin \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{R})$. □

We now use the effective ergodic theorem, Lemma 5.1(1), and the above results to prove the following.

Lemma 5.4 (Cf. [EMV09], Prop. 10.2)**.** *Assume that* (5.6) *holds. There exists some* $v \in \mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{r}_1)$ *with* $\|v\| = 1$ *so that*

$$|\exp(tv)_*\mu(f) - \mu(f)| \le \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}\mathcal{S}(f), \quad \textit{for all } |t| \ll 1.$$

Proof. We first show that there exists some $v \in \mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{r}_1)$ with $\|v\| = 1$ so that

$$|\exp(v)_*\mu(f) - \mu(f)| \le \mathrm{vol}(Hx)^{-\star}\mathcal{S}(f). \tag{5.11}$$

The claim for all $|t| \ll 1$ will follow from this using conjugation by elements in H, or alternatively, by an argument as in the proof of [EMV09, Prop. 10.2].

Let T_0 and $x, y \in Hx_0$ be as in Lemma 5.2. In particular, x and y are T_0-generic, $y = \exp(w)x$ with $w \in \mathfrak{r}$, $\|w\| \ll \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}$, and $\|w_1'\| \gg \|w_1\|$. Recall also that by Lemma 5.3 we have $w_1 \neq 0$.

Let us write $w = w_0 + w_1'' + w_1'$ as in Lemma 5.2. Then

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u(t))w = w_0 + w_1'' + \mathrm{Ad}(u(t))w_1'.$$

Therefore, there exists $T_1 \gg \|w_1'\|^{-\star}$ and a polynomial $p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{r}_1)$ with degree $\ll N$ and $\sup\{\|p(t)\| : 0 \le t \le 1\} = \|p(1)\| = 1$ so that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u(t))w = p(t/T_1) + O(\|w\|^{\star}). \tag{5.12}$$

This is the polynomial divergence property of unipotent flows relying on the fact that $\mathrm{Ad}(u(t))w$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued polynomial whose terms of highest degree belong to $\mathrm{Fix}_U(\mathfrak{r})$.

Let now $n > T_0$ be so that $T_1 \in [n^M, (n+1)^M]$ where M is as in (5.1). Then by (5.2) we have

$$|D_n(f)(z)| \le n^{-1}\mathcal{S}(f) \quad \text{for } z = x, y.$$

In view of (5.12) and property ($\mathcal{S}$-3) and the fact that $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$f(u(t)y) = f(\exp(p(t/T_1))x) + O(\|w\|^{\star})\mathcal{S}(f).$$

Moreover, for any $t \in [n^M, (n+1)^M]$ we have $|t - T_0| \ll T_1^{1-1/M}$. Hence all together we get

$$f(u(t)y) = f(\exp(p(1))u(t)x) + O(T_1^{-1/M}\mathcal{S}(f)) + O(\|w\|^{\star})\mathcal{S}(f).$$

Therefore, $\mu(f) = \mu(\exp(p(1)).f) + O(T_1^{-1/M} + \|w\|^\star)\mathcal{S}(f)$.
Since $\|p(1)\| = 1$, $T_1 \gg \|w_1'\|^{-\star}$, and $\|w\| \ll \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}$ we get (5.11). □

6. Effective generation of a bigger group

We continue to use the previous notation. Let us first recall the following.

Proposition 6.1 (Cf. [EMV09], Proposition 8.1)**.** *Let $\mathcal{S}_d$ be a fixed Sobolev norm. Suppose that μ is ϵ-almost invariant w.r.t. $\mathcal{S}_d$ under $w \in \exp(\mathfrak{r}_1)$ with $\|w\| = 1$. Then there exists $\kappa_{10} > 0$ so that μ is $c(d)\epsilon^{\kappa_{10}}$-almost invariant w.r.t. $\mathcal{S}_d$ under at least one of the groups P^+, P^-, or G.*

Proof. We note that [EMV09, Prop. 8.1] is proved in the general setting that applies to our situation, i.e. the assumption on triviality of the centralizer is not used in the proof of [EMV09, Prop. 8.1]. The claim thus follows from the results in §3. □

We also record the following.

Lemma 6.2 (Cf. [EMV09], Lemma 8.2.2)**.** *There exists $\kappa_{11} > 0$ with the following property. Let $S = P^+$, P^-, or G. Further assume that μ is ϵ-almost invariant under S w.r.t. $\mathcal{S}_d$. Then*

$$|\mu(q.f) - \mu(f)| \ll \epsilon\|q\|^{\kappa_{11}}\mathcal{S}_d(f), \quad q \in S.$$

Proof. For $S = G$, this is proved in [EMV09, Lemma 8.2.2]. Let us assume $S = P^+$. In view of our assumption we have

$$|\mu(q.f) - \mu(f)| \ll \epsilon\mathcal{S}_d(f), \quad \text{for all } |q| \le 2. \tag{6.1}$$

In particular, (6.1) holds true for $q_{ij} = 1 + E_{ij}$ with $j > i$. Let now $a \in H$ be a diagonal element with $|a| \ll t^\star$ so that

$$aq_{ij}a^{-1} = 1 + tE_{ij} =: q_{ij}(t).$$

Since μ is invariant under $a_{ij}(t)$, the above, (6.1) and properties of the Sobolev norm, see §2.5, imply that

$$|\mu(q_{ij}(t).f) - \mu(f)| \ll t^\star\epsilon\mathcal{S}_d(f) \ll \|q_{ij}(t)\|^\star\epsilon\mathcal{S}_d(f).$$

Since W is abelian we obtained the lemma for elements in W. Since μ is H-invariant this gives the claim for $S = P^+$. The proof for $S = P^-$ is similar. □

7. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall that $\mathrm{d}w$ is the Lebesgue measure on $W \cong \mathbb{R}^{kl}$, and for any $\tau > 0$ we put $W[\tau] := \{w \in w : \|w\|_\infty \le \tau\}$.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists a constant κ_{12} satisfying the following property. Let $s \ge 1$, put $\tau = e^{s(k+l)}$. Suppose that*

$$a_{-s}z \in \mathfrak{S}(R),$$

for $z \in X$. Then for any $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{|W[\tau]|} \int_{W[\tau]} f(wz)\, \mathrm{d}w - \int_X f \,\mathrm{d}m \right| \ll R^\star e^{-\kappa_{12} s} \mathcal{S}_d(f). \tag{7.1}$$

Proof. By the definition of τ, note that $W[\tau] = a_s W[1] a_{-s}$. Denote $y := a_{-s} z \in \mathfrak{S}(R)$. We have

$$\frac{1}{|W[\tau]|} \int_{W[\tau]} f(wz)\, \mathrm{d}w = \frac{1}{|W[1]|} \int_{W[1]} f(a_s w y)\, \mathrm{d}w.$$

Now using [KM96, Proposition 2.4.8] (see also [KM12, Theorem 2.3] for the dependence on the height R) there exists a $\kappa > 0$ so that the following holds:

$$\left| \frac{1}{|W[1]|} \int_{W[1]} f(a_s w y)\, \mathrm{d}w - \int_X f \,\mathrm{d}m \right| \ll R^\star e^{-\kappa s} \mathcal{S}_d(f). \tag{7.2}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let μ denote the H-invariant probability measure on Hx_0 and $x_0 = g_0\Gamma$. Assume (5.6). Using Lemma 5.4 we get almost invariance under an element in $\mathfrak{r}_1$. Then by Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 6.2 we get almost invariance under a subgroup $S = G, P^+$, or P^-. Since the case $S = P^-$ is similar, we may assume $S = G$ or P^+ . Therefore, we assume throughout the argument that for any $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ the following holds

$$|w_*\mu(f) - \mu(f)| \ll \epsilon S_d(f) \quad \text{for all } w \in W[\tau], \tag{7.3}$$

with $\tau \leq \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{\kappa_{13}}$ and $\epsilon = \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}$. Hence κ_{13} needs to be small enough to get (7.3), we will need to optimize κ_{13} further in the argument. .

We investigate

$$\frac{1}{|W[\tau]|} \int_{W[\tau]} \int_X f(wx)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\, \mathrm{d}w. \tag{7.4}$$

First note that (7.3) implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{|W[\tau]|} \int_{W[\tau]} \int_X f(wx)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\, \mathrm{d}w - \int_X f \,\mathrm{d}\mu \right| \ll \epsilon \mathcal{S}_d(f). \tag{7.5}$$

Let s be a parameter so that $\tau = e^{s(k+l)}$ and put $\mu_s = a_{-s}\mu$. Apply Corollary 4.2 to the measure μ_s and the closed orbit

$$a_{-s} H x_0 = H a_{-s} x_0.$$

By the conclusion of that corollary there are two cases to consider.

Case 1. Assume Corollary 4.2(1) holds for μ_s. That is

$$\mu_s\left(H a_{-s} x_0 \setminus \mathfrak{S}(R_0)\right) \leq 2^{-10}.$$

For every R put $B_{s,R} := H g_0 \Gamma \setminus a_s \mathfrak{S}(R)$. Then by Lemma 4.3, for any $R > 1$ we have

$$\mu\left(B_{s,R}\right) = \mu_s\left(H a_{-s} x_0 \setminus \mathfrak{S}(R)\right) \ll R^{-\kappa_5}. \tag{7.6}$$

Let $R > R_0$, using Fubini's theorem we can now rewrite (7.4) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{|W[\tau]|}\int_{W[\tau]}\int_X f(wx)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\,\mathrm{d}w &= \tfrac{1}{|W[\tau]|}\int_X\int_{W[\tau]} f(wx)\,\mathrm{d}w\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\\ &= \tfrac{1}{|W[\tau]|}\int_{X\setminus B_{s,R}}\int_{W[\tau]} f(wx)\,\mathrm{d}w\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{|W[\tau]|}\int_{B_{s,R}}\int_{W[\tau]} f(wx)\,\mathrm{d}w\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x).\end{aligned}$$

By (7.6) and property ($\mathcal{S}$-2) of the Sobolev norm the second term above is $\ll \mathcal{S}_d(f)R^{-\star}$. For the first term, note that by Lemma 7.1 for any $z \in Hx_0 \setminus B_{s,R} = Hx_0 \cap a_s\mathfrak{S}(R)$ we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{|W[\tau]|}\int_{W[\tau]} f(wz)\,\mathrm{d}w - \int_X f\,\mathrm{d}m\right| \ll R^\star e^{-\kappa_{12}s}\mathcal{S}_d(f).$$

Hence using (7.6) one more time we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{|W[\tau]|}\int_{X\setminus B_{s,R}}\int_{W[\tau]} f(wx)\,\mathrm{d}w\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) - \int_X f\,\mathrm{d}m\right| \ll R^\star e^{-\kappa_{12}s}\mathcal{S}_d(f) + R^{-\star}\mathcal{S}_d(f)$$

Putting these together and recalling that $\tau = e^{\star s}$ we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{|W[\tau]|}\int_{W[\tau]}\int_X f(wx)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\,\mathrm{d}w - \int_X f\,\mathrm{d}m\right| \ll R^\star\tau^{-\star}\mathcal{S}_d(f) + R^{-\star}\mathcal{S}_d(f). \tag{7.7}$$

Recall that so far our constraint on τ was only $\tau = \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{\kappa_{13}}$ as in (7.3). If we now choose $R = \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^\star$ and a small enough exponent we obtain $R^\star\tau^{-\star} \ll \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}$, then (7.5) and (7.7) imply

$$|\mu(f) - m(f)| \ll \mathrm{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}\mathcal{S}_d(f),$$

and hence the theorem in this case.

Case 2. Assume Corollary 4.2(2) holds for μ_s. Hence there exist $\lambda \in \Gamma\Xi$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that

$$g_0^{-1}a_sHa_{-s}g_0 = g_0^{-1}Hg_0 \subset \lambda P_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda^{-1}, \tag{7.8}$$

and moreover there exists $h_0 \in H$ with

$$d_\alpha(a_{-s}h_0g_0\lambda) < 1 \tag{7.9}$$

for some $|h_0| \le 1$. Note first that (7.8) implies that $\vartheta_\alpha(hg_0\lambda)v_\alpha = \vartheta_\alpha(g_0\lambda)v_\alpha$ for all $h \in H$. By the definition of ϑ_α and v_α, see §4, this implies that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g_0\lambda)\mathrm{Lie}\,(R_u(P_\alpha))$$

is an invariant subspace for adjoint action of H. As $R_u(P_\alpha)$ is unipotent, the discussion in §3 implies that

$$g_0\lambda R_u(P_\alpha)\lambda^{-1}g_0^{-1} = W^+ \text{ or } g_0\lambda R_u(P_\alpha)\lambda^{-1}g_0^{-1} = W^-.$$

Let us first assume that $g_0\lambda R_u(P_\alpha)\lambda^{-1}g_0^{-1} = W^-$. This together with $d_\alpha(a_{-s}h_0g_0\lambda) < 1$ implies that

$$\text{minht}(Hx_0) \gg \tau^{\kappa_{14}} = \text{vol}(Hx_0)^{\kappa_{13}\kappa_{14}}. \tag{7.10}$$

for some κ_{14} depending only on G.

Therefore we assume that $g_0\lambda R_u(P_\alpha)\lambda^{-1}g_0^{-1} = W^+$. This, (7.8), and the definitions of P^+ imply that

$$\lambda^{-1}g_0^{-1}P^+g_0 \subset P_\alpha^{(1)} = \{g \in G : \vartheta_\alpha(g)v_\alpha = v_\alpha\},$$

as was defined in §4. Hence we get

$$\lambda^{-1}g_0^{-1}P^+g_0\lambda \text{ is the connected component of the identity in } P_\alpha^{(1)}. \tag{7.11}$$

Since $\lambda \in \Gamma\Xi$ with $\Xi \subset \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ we get in particular that $P^+g_0\Gamma/\Gamma$ is a closed orbit.

Claim 1. We have

$$\text{vol}(P^+g_0\Gamma/\Gamma) \ll \tau^\star. \tag{7.12}$$

Let us assume the claim and finish the proof. We will show that

$$|\mu(f) - \mu_{P^+x_0}(f)| \ll \text{vol}(Hx_0)^{-\star}\mathcal{S}_d(f)$$

for any $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$, which will finish the proof. Let $\delta = R_{Hx_0}^{-\star}$ be as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Recall also that for all $T_0 > 0$ we defined a subset $F \subset \mathfrak{S}(R_{Hx_0})$ in (5.8). We define

$$T_0 := \epsilon^{-\kappa_7/2} = \text{vol}(Hx_0)^\star. \tag{7.13}$$

We choose κ_{13} in $\tau \le \text{vol}(Hx_0)^{\kappa_{13}}$ small enough so that $T_0 > \text{vol}(P^+x_0)^\star$.

Then (7.3) together with the argument as in Lemma 5.1(2), see also [EMV09, Prop. 9.1], implies that the portion of points in

$$\{\exp(v) : v \in \text{Lie}(P^+), \|w\| \le \delta\} \times X,$$

so that gx is *not* $[T_0, \epsilon^{-\kappa_7}]$-generic for μ w.r.t. $\mathcal{S}_{d'}$ is $\ll T_0^{-1}$.

Recall that $\mu(F) \ge 0.9$. Therefore, using Fubini's Theorem, the above together with Lemma 5.1(1) and the choice of R_{Hx_0}, see (4.4), gives the following. There exists a point $x_1 \in F$, which is T_0-generic for μ so that

$$\left|\{g \in P_\delta^+ : gx_1 \text{ is } \textit{not } [T_0, \epsilon^{-\kappa_7}]\text{-generic for } \mu\}\right| \ll T_0^{-1/2}|P_\delta^+|, \tag{7.14}$$

where $P_\delta^+ = \{\exp(v) : v \in \text{Lie}(P^+), \|v\| \le \delta\}$. We may assume that the upper bound in (7.14) is $< 0.1|P_\delta^+|$ (for otherwise $\text{vol}(Hx_0)$ is bounded).

Recall that $\delta = R_{Hx_0}^{-\star} = \text{minht}(Hx_0)^{-\star}$. Applying Lemma 5.1(1) with $\mu_{P^+x_0}$ we get the following. Either the portion of

$$\{g = \exp(v) : v \in \text{Lie}(P^+), \|v\| \le \delta\}$$

so that gx_1 is T_0-generic for $\mu_{P^+x_0}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{S}_d$ is ≤ 0.9, or

$$\text{minht}(Hx_0) = \delta^\star \gg T_0^{\kappa_{15}} = \text{vol}(Hx_0)^\star \tag{7.15}$$

for some κ_{15}.

Assuming the former and replacing x_1 by gx_1 for some $g = \exp(v)$ as above, we may and will assume that x_1 is $[T_0, \epsilon^{-\kappa_7}]$-generic for μ, and that x_1 is also T_0-generic for $\mu_{P^+x_0}$. This implies

$$|\mu(f) - \mu_{P^+x_0}(f)| \ll T^{-1}\mathcal{S}_d(f) \tag{7.16}$$

for all $T \in [T_0, \epsilon^{-\kappa_7}]$. In view of (7.13), this finishes the proof in this case.

Assume (7.10) or (7.15) holds, then the Theorem 1.1 holds trivially with $S = H$ if $V \gg \text{vol}(Hx_0)^\star$ and $S = G$ otherwise. This finishes the proof modulo (7.12).

Proof of Claim 1. Recall from (7.11) that $\lambda^{-1}g_0^{-1}P^+g_0\lambda$ is the connected component of the identity in $P_\alpha^{(1)}$ and that $\Xi \subset \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a finite set. For any $\xi \in \Xi$ let us define $P_{\alpha,\xi} := \xi P_\alpha \xi^{-1}$. We also define $P^{(1)}_{\alpha,\xi}$, $P^+_{\alpha,\xi}$, and $v_{\alpha,\xi}$ accordingly. Let $A_{\alpha,\xi}$ denote the center of the Levi component of $P_{\alpha,\xi}$. Then $A_{\alpha,\xi}P^+_{\alpha,\xi}$ has finite index in $P_{\alpha,\xi}$. Let $B_{\alpha,\xi} \subset P_{\alpha,\xi}$ denote a set of representative for $P_{\alpha,\xi}/A_{\alpha,\xi}P^+_{\alpha,\xi}$.

Write $\lambda = \gamma\xi \in \Gamma\Xi$. Since $G = \text{SO}(d)P_{\alpha,\xi}$ the above discussion implies that we may write

$$g_0\gamma = cba_\gamma p^+$$

where $c \in \text{SO}(d)$, $b \in B_{\alpha,\xi}$, $a_\gamma \in A_{\alpha,\xi}$, and $p \in P_{\alpha,\xi}$. In particular, since $P^+g_0\Gamma = g_0\gamma P^+_{\alpha,\xi}\Gamma$, we have

$$\text{vol}(P^+g_0\Gamma/\Gamma) \asymp \text{vol}(a_\gamma P^+_{\alpha,\xi}\Gamma/\Gamma). \tag{7.17}$$

Therefore, it suffices to bound $\text{vol}(a_\gamma P^+_{\alpha,\xi}\Gamma/\Gamma)$.

To this end, note that by (7.9) we have

$$\|\vartheta_\alpha(a_{-s}h_0g_0\lambda)v_\alpha\| = d_\alpha(a_{-s}h_0g_0\lambda) < 1.$$

for some $|h_0| \leq 1$. Therefore, by the choice of s, $\|\vartheta_\alpha(h_0g_0\lambda)v_\alpha\| \ll \tau^\star$. Now, in view of the fact that $P^+_{\alpha,\xi} \subset P^{(1)}_{\alpha,\xi}$, the above discussion implies

$$\|\vartheta_\alpha(g_0\gamma)v_{\alpha,\xi}\| \asymp \|\vartheta_\alpha(a_\gamma)v_{\alpha,\xi}\| \ll \tau^\star. \tag{7.18}$$

We get from this $|a_\gamma| \ll \tau^\star$. Now since the distortion of the volume when applying g is bounded by $|g|^\star$ we get (7.12) from this bound and (7.17). □

References

[Bor91] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR1102012 (92d:20001) ↑5

[BT65] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **27** (1965), 55–150. MR0207712 ↑7

[Clo03] L. Clozel, *Démonstration de la conjecture τ*, Invent. Math. **151** (2003), no. 2, 297–328. MR1953260 ↑4

[DM91] S. G. Dani and G. A. Margulis, *Asymptotic behaviour of trajectories of unipotent flows on homogeneous spaces*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **101** (1991), no. 1, 1–17. MR1101994 ↑7

[EMV09] M. Einsiedler, G. Margulis, and A. Venkatesh, *Effective equidistribution for closed orbits of semisimple groups on homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), no. 1, 137–212. MR2507639 (2011a:22030) ↑1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16

[GMO08] A. Gorodnik, F. Maucourant, and H. Oh, *Manin's and Peyre's conjectures on rational points and adelic mixing*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 3, 383–435. ↑4

[KM12] D. Y. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, *On effective equidistribution of expanding translates of certain orbits in the space of lattices*, Number theory, analysis and geometry, 2012, pp. 385–396. MR2867926 ↑14

[KM96] D. Y. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, *Bounded orbits of nonquasiunipotent flows on homogeneous spaces*, Sinaĭ's Moscow Seminar on Dynamical Systems, 1996, pp. 141–172. MR1359098 ↑14

[KM98] D. Y Kleinbock and G. A Margulis, *Flows on homogeneous spaces and diophantine approximation on manifolds*, Annals of mathematics (1998), 339–360. ↑8

[Oh02] H. Oh, *Uniform pointwise bounds for matrix coefficients of unitary representations and applications to Kazhdan constants*, Duke Math. J. **113** (2002), no. 1, 133–192. ↑4

M.A. Departement Mathematik, ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

E-mail address: menashe-hai.akka@math.ethz.ch

M.E. Departement Mathematik, ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

E-mail address: manfred.einsiedler@math.ethz.ch

H.L. Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wesleyan University, 265 Church Street, Middletown, CT 06457

E-mail address: hli03@wesleyan.edu

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712

E-mail address: amir@math.utexas.edu